The Weekend Effect in Hospitalized Patients: A Meta-Analysis
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BACKGROUND: The presence of a “weekend effect” (increased mortality rate during Saturday and/or Sunday admissions) for hospitalized inpatients is uncertain. Several observational studies1-3 suggested a positive correlation between weekend admission and increased mortality, whereas other studies demonstrated no correlation4-6 or mixed results.7,8 The majority of studies and increased mortality, whereas other studies demonstrated no correlation4-6 or mixed results.7,8 The majority of studies have been published only within the last decade.

Several possible reasons are cited to explain the weekend effect. Decreased and presence of inexperienced staffing on weekends may contribute to a deficit in care.7,9,10 Patients admitted during the weekend may be less likely to undergo procedures or have significant delays before receiving needed intervention.11-13 Another possibility is that there may be differences in severity of illness or comorbidities in patients admitted during the weekend compared with those admitted during the remainder of the week. Due to inconsistency between studies regarding the existence of such an effect, we performed a meta-analysis in hospitalized inpatients to delineate whether or not there is a weekend effect on mortality.

METHODS
Data Sources and Searches
This study was exempt from institutional review board review, and we utilized the recommendations from the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology statement. We examined the mortality rate for hospital inpatients admitted during the weekend (weekend death) compared with the mortality rate for those admitted during the workweek (workweek death). We performed a literature search (January 1966–April 2013) of multiple databases, including PubMed, EMBASE, SCOPUS, and the Cochrane library (see Appendix). Two reviewers (LP, RJP) independently evaluated the full article of each abstract. Any disputes were resolved by a third reviewer (CW). Bibliographic references were hand searched for additional literature.

Study Selection
To be included in the systematic review, the study had to provide discrete mortality data on the weekends (including holidays) versus weekdays, include patients who were admitted as inpatients over the weekend, and be published in English.

DATA SOURCES: PubMed, EMBASE, SCOPUS, and Cochrane databases (January 1966–April 2013) were utilized for our search.

STUDY SELECTION: We examined the mortality rate for hospital inpatients admitted during the weekend compared with those admitted during the workweek. To be included, the study had to provide discrete mortality data around the weekends (including holidays) versus weekdays, include patients who were admitted as inpatients over the weekend, and be published in English.

DATA EXTRACTION: The primary outcome was all-cause weekend versus weekday mortality with subgroup analysis by personnel staffing levels, rates and times to procedures and delays, or illness severity.

DATA SYNTHESIS: A total of 97 studies (N = 51,114,109 patients) were examined. Patients admitted on the weekends had a significantly higher overall mortality (relative risk, 1.19; 95% confidence interval, 1.14–1.23). With regard to the subgroup analyses, patients admitted on the weekends consistently had higher mortality than those admitted during the week, regardless of the levels of weekend/weekday differences in staffing, procedure rates and delays, and illness severity.

CONCLUSIONS: Hospital inpatients admitted during weekends may have a higher mortality rate compared with inpatients admitted during the weekdays. Journal of Hospital Medicine 2017;12:760-766. © 2017 Society of Hospital Medicine
able, including study location, number and type of patients studied, patient comorbidity data, procedure-related data (type of procedure, difference in rate of procedure and time to procedure performed for both weekday and weekends), any stated and/or implied differences in staffing patterns between weekend and weekdays, and definition of mortality. We used the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale to assess the quality of methodological reporting of the study.14

The definition of weekend and extraction and classification of data (weekend versus weekday) was based on the original study definition. We made no attempt to impose a universal definition of “weekend” on all studies. Similarly, the definition of mortality (eg, 3-/7-/30-day) was based according to the original study definition. Death from a patient admitted on the weekend was defined as a “weekend death” (regardless of ultimate time of death) and similarly, death from a patient admitted on a weekday was defined as a “weekday death.” Although some articles provided specific information on healthcare worker staffing patterns between weekends and weekdays, differences in weekend versus weekday staffing were implied in many articles. In these studies, staffing paradigms were considered to be different between weekend and weekdays if there were specific descriptions of the type of hospitals (urban versus rural, teaching versus nonteaching, large versus small) in the database, which would imply a typical routine staffing pattern as currently occurs in most hospitals (ie, generally less healthcare worker staff on weekends). We only included data that provided times (mean minutes/hours) from admission to the specific intervention and that provided actual rates of intervention performed for both weekend and weekday patients. We only included data that provided an actual rate of intervention performed for both weekend and weekday patients. With regard to patient comorbidities or illness severity index, we used the original studies classification (defined by the original manuscripts), which might include widely accepted global indices or a listing of specific comorbidities and/or physiologic parameters present on admission.

Data Synthesis and Analysis
We used a random effects meta-analysis approach for estimating an overall relative risk (RR) and risk differences of mortality for weekends versus weekdays, as well as subgroup specific estimates, and for computing confidence limits. The DerSimonian and Laird approach was used to estimate the random effects. Within each of the 4 subgroups (weekend staffing, procedure rates and delays, illness severity), we grouped each qualified individual study by the presence of a difference (ie, difference, no difference, or mixed) and then pooled the mortality rates for all of the studies in that group. For instance, in the subgroup of staffing, we sorted available studies by whether weekend staffing was the same or decreased versus weekday staffing, then pooled the mortality rates for studies where staffing levels were the same (versus weekday) and also separately pooled studies where staffing levels were decreased (versus weekday). Data were managed with Stata 13 (Stata Statistical Software: Release 13; StataCorp. 2013, College Station, TX) and R, and all

FIG 1. Flow diagram of studies selected for our meta-analysis.
*Records identified through database had their references checked for possible studies to include.
meta-analyses were performed with the metafor package in R. Pooled estimated are presented as RR (95% confidence intervals [CI]).

RESULTS
A literature search retrieved a total of 594 unique citations. A review of the bibliographic references yielded an additional 20 articles. Upon evaluation, 97 studies (N = 51,114,109 patients) met inclusion criteria (Figure 1). The articles were published between 2001–2012; the kappa statistic comparing interrater reliability in the selection of articles was 0.86. Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 present a summary of study characteristics and outcomes of the accepted articles. A summary of accepted studies is in Supplementary Table 1. When summing the total number of subjects across all 97 articles, 76% were classified as weekday and 24% were weekend patients.

Weekend Admission/Inpatient Status and Mortality
The definition of the weekend varied among the included studies. The weekend time period was delineated as Friday midnight to Sunday midnight in 66% (65/99) of the studies. The remaining studies typically defined the weekend to be between Friday evening and Monday morning although studies from the Middle East generally defined the weekend as Wednesday/Thursday through Saturday. The definition of mortality also varied among researchers with most studies describing death rate as hospital inpatient mortality although some studies also examined multiple definitions of mortality (eg, 30-day all-cause mortality and hospital inpatient mortality). Not all studies provided a specific timeframe for mortality.

There were 522,801 weekend deaths (of 12,279,385 weekend patients, or 4.26%) and 1,440,685 weekday deaths (of 39,834,724 weekday patients, or 3.62%). Patients admitted on the weekends had a significantly higher overall mortality compared to those during the weekday. The risk of mortality was 19% greater for weekend admissions versus weekday admissions (RR = 1.19; 95% CI, 1.14–1.23; I² = 99%; Figure 2). This same comparison, expressed as a difference in proportions (risk difference) is 0.014 (95% CI, 0.013–0.016). While this difference may seem minor, this translates into 14 more deaths per 1000 patients admitted on weekends compared with those admitted during the week.

Fifty studies did not report a specific time frame for deaths. When a specific time frame for death was reported, the most common reported time frame was 30 days (n = 15 studies) and risk of mortality at 30 days still was higher for weekends.
The Weekend Effect: A Meta-Analysis  |  Pauls et al

When we restricted the analysis to the studies that specified any timeframe for mortality (n = 49 studies), the risk of mortality was still significantly higher for weekends (RR = 1.12; 95% CI, 1.09-1.15; I² = 95%).

We also performed subgroup analyses to investigate the overall weekend effect by hospital level factors (weekend staffing, procedure rates and delays, illness severity). Complete data were not available for all studies (staffing levels = 73 studies, time to intervention = 18 studies, rate of intervention = 30 studies, illness severity = 64 studies). Patients admitted on the weekends consistently had higher mortality than those admitted during the week, regardless of the levels of weekend/weekday differences in staffing, procedure rates and delays, illness severity (Figure 3). Analysis of studies that included staffing data for weekends revealed that decreased staffing levels on the weekends was associated with a higher mortality for weekend patients (RR = 1.16; 95% CI, 1.12-1.20; I² = 99%; Figure 3). There was no difference in mortality for weekend patients when staffing was similar to that for the weekdays (RR = 1.21; 95% CI, 0.91-1.53; I² = 90%). When we restricted the analysis to the studies that specified any timeframe for mortality (n = 49 studies), the risk of mortality was still significantly higher for weekends (RR = 1.12; 95% CI, 1.09-1.15; I² = 95%).

Weekend Effect Factors

We have presented one of the first meta-analyses to examine the mortality rate for hospital inpatients admitted during the weekend compared with those admitted during the workweek. We found that patients admitted on the weekends had a significantly higher overall mortality (RR = 1.19; 95% CI, 1.14-1.23; risk difference = 0.014; 95% CI, 0.013-0.016). This association was not modified by differences in weekday and weekend staffing patterns, and other hospital characteristics. Previous systematic reviews have been exclusive to the intensive care unit setting or did not specifically examine weekend mortality, which was a component of “off-shift” and/or “after-hours” care.

These findings should be placed in the context of the recently published literature. A meta-analysis of cohort studies found that off-hour admission was associated with increased mortality for 28 diseases although the associations...
varied considerably for different diseases.\footnote{18} Likewise, a meta-analysis of 21 cohort studies noted that off-hour presentation for patients with acute ischemic stroke was associated with significantly higher short-term mortality.\footnote{19} Our results of increased weekend mortality corroborate that found in these two meta-analyses. However, our study differs in that we specifically examined only weekend mortality and did not include after-hours care on weekdays, which was included in the off-hour mortality in the other meta-analyses.\footnote{18,19}

Differences in healthcare worker staffing between weekends and weekdays have been proposed to contribute to the observed increase in mortality.\footnote{7,16,20} Data indicate that lower levels of nursing are associated with increased mortality.\footnote{10,21-23} The presence of less experienced and/or fewer physician specialists may contribute to increases in mortality.\footnote{24-26} Fewer or less experienced staff during weekends may contribute to inadequacies in patient handovers and/or handoffs, delays in patient assessment and/or interventions, and overall continuity of care for newly admitted patients.\footnote{27-33}

Our data show little conclusive evidence that the weekend mortality versus weekday mortality vary by staffing level differences. While the estimated RR of mortality differs in magnitude for facilities with no difference in weekend and weekday staffing versus those that have a difference in staffing levels, both estimate an increased mortality on weekends, and the difference in these effects is not statistically significant. It should be noted that there was no difference in mortality for weekend (versus weekday) patients where there was no difference between weekend and weekday staffing; these studies were typically in high acuity units or centers where the general expectation is for 24/7/365 uniform staffing coverage.

A decrease in the use of interventions and/or procedures on weekends has been suggested to contribute to increases in mortality for patients admitted on the weekends.\footnote{34} Several studies have associated lower weekend rates to higher mortality for a variety of interventions,\footnote{13,35-42} although some other studies have suggested that lower procedure rates on weekends have no effect on mortality.\footnote{38-40} Lower diagnostic procedure weekend rates linked to higher mortality rates may exacerbate underlying healthcare disparities.\footnote{41} Our results do not conclusively show that a decrease rate of intervention and/or procedures for weekends patients is associated with a higher risk of mortality for weekends compared to weekdays.

Delays in intervention and/or procedure on weekends have also been suggested to contribute to increases in mortality.\footnote{34,42} Similar to that seen with lower rates of diagnostic or therapeutic intervention and/or procedure performed on weekends, delays in potentially critical intervention and/or procedures might ultimately manifest as an increase in mortality.\footnote{43} Patients admitted to the hospital on weekends and requiring an early procedure were less likely to receive it within 2 days of admission.\footnote{42} Several studies have shown an association between delays in diagnostic or therapeutic intervention and/or procedure on weekends to a higher hospital inpatient mortality\footnote{15,42,44,45}; however, some data suggest that a delay in time to procedure on weekends may not always be associated with increased mortality.\footnote{46} Depending on the procedure, there may be a threshold below which the effect of reducing delay times will have no effect on mortality rates.\footnote{47,48}

Patients admitted on the weekends may be different (in the severity of illness and/or comorbidities) than those admitted during the workweek and these potential differences may be a factor for increases in mortality for weekend patients. Whether there is a selection bias for weekend versus weekday patients is not clear.\footnote{34} This is a complex issue as there is significant heterogeneity in patient case mix depending on the specific disease or condition studied. For instance, one would expect that weekend trauma patients would be different than those seen during the regular workweek.\footnote{39} Some large scale studies suggest that weekend patients may not be more sick than weekday patients and that any increase in weekend mortality is probably not due to factors such as severity of illness.\footnote{1,7} Although we were unable to determine if there was an overall difference in illness severity between weekend and weekday patients due to the wide variety of assessments used for illness severity, our results showed statistically comparable higher mortality rate on the weekends regardless of whether the illness severity was higher, the same, or mixed between weekend and weekday patients, suggesting that general illness severity per se may not be as important as the weekend effect on mortality; however, illness severity may still have an important effect on mortality for more specific subgroups (eg, trauma).\footnote{49}

There are several implications of our results. We found a mean increased RR mortality of approximately 19% for patients admitted on the weekends, a number similar to one of the largest published observational studies containing almost 5 million subjects.\footnote{2} Even if we took a more conservative estimate of 10% increased risk of weekend mortality, this would be equivalent to an excess of 25,000 preventable deaths per year. If the weekend effect were to be placed in context of a public health issue, the weekend effect would be the number 8 cause of death below the 29,000 deaths due to gun violence, but above the 20,000 deaths resulting from sexual behavior (sexual transmitted diseases) in 2000.\footnote{3,10,51} Although our data suggest that staffing shortfalls and decreases or delays for procedures on weekends may be associated with an increased mortality for patients admitted on the weekends, further large-scale studies are needed to confirm these findings. Increasing nurse and physician staffing levels and skill mix to cover any potential shortfall on weekends may be expensive, although theoretically, there may be savings accrued from reduced adverse events and shorter length of stay.\footnote{26,52} Changes to weekend care might only benefit daytime hospitalizations because some studies have shown increased mortality during nighttime regardless of weekend or weekday admission.\footnote{53}

Several methodologic points in our study need to be clarified. We excluded many studies which examined the relationship of off-hours or after-hours admissions and mortality as off-hours studies typically combined weekend and after-hours
weekday care. Some studies suggest that off-hour admission may be associated with increased mortality and delays in time for critical procedures during off-hours.18,19 This is a complex topic, but it is clear that the risks of hospitalization vary not just by the day of the week but also by time of the day.54 The use of meta-analyses of nonrandomized trials has been somewhat controversial,35,56 and there may be significant bias or confounding in the pooling of highly varied studies. It is important to keep in mind that there are very different definitions of weekends, populations studied, and measures of mortality rates, even as the pooled statistic suggests a homogeneity among the studies that does not exist.

There are several limitations to our study. Our systematic review may be seen as limited as we included only English language papers. In addition, we did not search nontraditional sources and abstracts. We accepted the definition of a weekend as defined by the original study, which resulted in varied definitions of weekend time period and mortality. There was a lack of specific data on staffing patterns and procedures in many studies, particularly those using databases. We were not able to further subdivide our analysis by admitting service. We were not able to undertake a subgroup analysis by country or continent, which may have implications on the effect of different healthcare systems on healthcare quality. It is unclear whether correlations in our study are a direct consequence of poorer weekend care or are the result of other unknown or unexamined differences between weekend and weekday patient populations.34,57 For instance, there may be other global factors (higher rates of medical errors, higher hospital volumes) which may not be specifically related to weekend care and therefore not been accounted for in many of the studies we examined.10,27,58,61 There may be potential bias of patient phenotypes (are weekend patients different than weekday patients?) admitted on the weekend. Holidays were included in the weekend data and it is not clear how this would affect our findings as some data suggest that there is a significantly higher mortality rate on holidays (versus weekends or weekdays),63 while other data do not.55 There was no universal definition for the timeframe for a weekend and as such, we had to rely on the original article for their determination and definition of weekend versus weekday death.

In summary, our meta-analysis suggests that hospital inpatients admitted during the weekend have a significantly increased mortality compared with those admitted on weekday. While none of our subgroup analyses showed strong evidence on effect modification, the interpretation of these results is hampered by the relatively small number of studies. Further research should be directed to determine the presence of causality between various factors purported to affect mortality and it is possible that we ultimately find that the weekend effect may exist for some but not all patients.
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