Azithromycin, a macrolide antibiotic, received US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval in 1991 and is 1 of the most prescribed antibiotics used for a variety of infections, including community-acquired pneumonia, bacterial sinusitis, urethritis, and cervicitis. In 2011, it was estimated that 40.3 million outpatients received a prescription for azithromycin. In addition to treating acute bacterial infections, recent literature has pointed to using azithromycin for its unlabeled immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory effects, particularly in cystic fibrosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and lung transplant recipients. Azithromycin decreases bacterial load and virulence, thus reducing airway secretion, as well as decreasing airway neutrophil accumulation through a reduction in proinflammatory cytokine expression.

Cardiac toxicity can occur with macrolide antibiotics, and prolongation of the QT interval with subsequent Torsades de pointes has been documented with azithromycin. In 2012, Ray et al. published data on a cohort of outpatients receiving azithromycin compared to amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, or no antibiotics, and showed a small but absolute increase in cardiovascular deaths. Subsequent data, however, have not illustrated increased risk of death from cardiovascular causes. Mortensen et al. showed a lower risk of 90-day mortality in older patients treated for community-acquired pneumonia with azithromycin and ceftriaxone, although there was a non-statistically significant increased risk of myocardial infarction in this group. In March 2013, the FDA released an official statement regarding increased cardiovascular risk with azithromycin, stating that healthcare professionals should consider the risk of fatal heart rhythms with azithromycin when considering treatment options for patients who are at risk for cardiovascular events.

In recent years, the potential for corrected QT (QTc) prolongation and Torsades de pointes has received increased attention due to its catastrophic
nature, and it is thought that hospitalized patients are at a greater risk of drug-induced Torsades de pointes due to the likelihood of having more risk factors.\textsuperscript{12,13} The American Heart Association released a statement in 2010 to raise awareness among healthcare professionals about risk, electrocardiogram (ECG) monitoring, and management of drug-induced QT interval prolongation in hospitalized patients, although little data exist regarding quantification of risk in this patient population.\textsuperscript{13,14}

Prescribers currently have no standardized practice guidelines related to cardiovascular safety when prescribing QTc prolonging medications. Given the dramatic increase in azithromycin prescriptions and ongoing concern for cardiovascular risk and QTc prolongation, we investigated the prescribing practices with azithromycin within our institution. Our primary aims were 3-fold. First, we aimed to describe the frequency azithromycin was prescribed with additional QTc prolonging medications. Second, we assessed the relationship between the number of arrhythmogenic drugs prescribed in addition to azithromycin with ordering telemetry. Finally, we assessed the relationship between baseline ECG abnormalities and telemetry monitoring in patients prescribed azithromycin. The purpose of these objectives was to better understand physician prescribing practices and to determine if patients have a potential risk of developing fatal cardiac arrhythmias.

**METHODS**

**Data**

For this retrospective review, we utilized data from the University of Alabama at Birmingham Health Care system, a 1157–licensed bed hospital. The institutional review board approved this study with a waiver of informed consent. Patients were eligible to be included in this study if they were ≥19 years of age with an inpatient hospital length of stay ≥3 days. Patients were considered to be receiving azithromycin and were included only when they were dispensed 1 dose of azithromycin by the pharmacy. Between October 1, 2012 and April 30, 2013, 1610 encounters were identified, of which 100 patient encounters were randomly selected for evaluation via a Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA) function. One patient was randomly included twice in this study, but had 2 separate admissions in which he received azithromycin.

QTc prolonging medications in our hospital formulary were identified via Micromedex and package inserts (see Supporting Information, Appendix, in the online version of this article for the full list).

**Measures**

The primary study measures were number of medications associated with QTc prolongation, baseline ECG findings, and telemetry monitoring. Secondary study measures include indication, dose, duration of use, formulation, length of stay, and admitting service (Table 1). Indications, dosage, and duration were defined by the FDA package insert for azithromycin (see Supporting Information, Appendix, in the online version of this article). Indication for use was defined as (1) empiric for a specific infection; (2) anti-inflammatory for patients with COPD, lung transplant recipients, or cystic fibrosis patients; and (3) culture proven if evidence of a particular pathogen grown on
TABLE 2. Potentially Interacting Medications Concomitantly Prescribed With Azithromycin Among Study Patients (N = 76)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>% of Patients Receiving Interacting Medication With Azithromycin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ondansetron</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trazodone</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moxifloxacin</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promethazine, haloperidol</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin, citalopram, fluconazole</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone, amitryptyne</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quetiapine, methadone</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin, omeprazole, voninzolam, ziprasidone</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin, granisetron, salmeterol, sotalol, ziprasidone</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Univariate analysis was used to describe medications received with azithromycin.

Statistical Analysis
Patient data were initially collected via Excel and analyzed with SAS version 9.4 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Univariate analysis including central tendency and dispersion were utilized for aim 1. P values were calculated using $\chi^2$ analysis and Fisher exact test for probability if cells with numerical values were $<5$ for aims 2 and 3.

culture. Indications were defined by prescriber notes. Dosage is defined as appropriate if FDA guidelines were followed for the defined indication. If patients were given azithromycin for anti-inflammatory purposes, dosing was considered appropriate if it followed previous literature dosing of 250 mg daily.

Patients were divided into drug interaction risk levels based on the number of medications prescribed with the potential for QT prolongation (Table 2). Patients were considered low risk if they received azithromycin alone, medium risk if they received 2 to 3 QT-prolonging medications including azithromycin, and high risk if they received 4 or more QT-prolonging medications including azithromycin.

The QT interval was measured from the beginning of the QRS complex to the end of the T wave as it returns to baseline. QTc has been defined by the most universally adopted method known as Bazett's formula (QTc = QT/RR, where QT is the measured QT interval and RR is the interval in seconds). The baseline QTc was evaluated through the use of most recent ECG within the past 6 months of admission. Borderline QTc was defined as 431 to 450 ms in males and 451 to 470 ms in females. Abnormal QTc was defined as >450 ms in males and >470 ms in females.

Following admission, inpatient charges for telemetry during hospitalization were included. Telemetry was documented based on telemetry charges at any point in the hospital.

RESULTS
Azithromycin use within our hospital system has increased from 15 days of therapy per 1000 patient days in 2002 to 40 days of therapy per 1000 patient days in 2013 (Figure 1). At the same time, azithromycin susceptibility in Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates has decreased over the past decade from 65% to 35% in our hospital.

The baseline characteristics of patients included in this study are noted in Table 1. The mean age of patients was 55 years, with a range of 21 to 97 years, and 61% were female. Forty-five percent of patients were admitted to either the general medicine teaching service or hospitalist service, and 23% were admitted to the pulmonary service, which includes intensive care unit admission. The average length of patient stay was 9.7 days (range, 3 to 115 days; median 6 days).

Seventy-nine percent of azithromycin use was empiric for the treatment of suspected infection. The second most common use was for anti-inflammatory effects (20%), as documented by prescribers in the medical record for patients with cystic fibrosis, lung transplant, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Azithromycin was dosed appropriately according to the documented indication in 67% of patients, with the most discrepancy in dosing noted for anti-inflammatory use. The average duration of azithromycin therapy was 4.5 days (range, 1 to 28 days). Duration was appropriate in 63% of patients. Twenty-one percent of patients received intravenous formulation of azithromycin, 13% received intravenous followed by oral formulation, and 64% of patient received tablet formulation alone.

Thirty-five medications have been identified in our formulary as having a potential major drug-drug interaction when prescribed with azithromycin (see Supporting Information, Appendix, in the online version of this article), and of these medications, 20 were...
prescribed with azithromycin, with an average overlap of therapy of 4.5 days (Table 2). Seventy-six percent of patients were concomitantly prescribed a QT-prolonging drug in addition to azithromycin. The most commonly prescribed agents were ondansetron (48%), trazodone (22%), and moxifloxacin (17%).

Telemetry monitoring was assessed for each patient based on inpatient charges during their hospitalization (Table 3). Forty-three percent of patients were placed on telemetry. Twenty-four (24%) of the patients were prescribed azithromycin alone, of whom 45.8% were placed on telemetry. Fifty-seven percent of patients were prescribed azithromycin with 1 to 2 potentially interacting medications (57 patients), and high-risk level was azithromycin prescribed with 3 or more QTc prolonging medications.

Table 3. Telemetry Placement by Drug Interaction Risk Level (N = 100) and Baseline QTc Findings Among Study Patients (N = 66)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug interaction risk level</th>
<th>Telemetry (%)</th>
<th>No Telemetry (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P Value1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>11 (45.8)</td>
<td>13 (54.2)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>22 (88.5)</td>
<td>3 (11.5)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>10 (52.6)</td>
<td>9 (47.4)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>14 (50)</td>
<td>14 (50)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>6 (66.7)</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>15 (51.7)</td>
<td>14 (48.3)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Telemetry orders were initially stratified by risk category. Among the telemetry orders, QTc findings at baseline were further reviewed. Abbreviations: QTc, corrected QT.

1Low drug interaction–risk level was azithromycin alone (24 patients), medium-risk level was azithromycin prescribed with 1 or 2 potentially interacting medications (57 patients), and high-risk level was azithromycin prescribed with 3 or more QTc prolonging medications.

P value was obtained via \( \chi^2 \) analysis using SAS software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

TABLE 4. Baseline Electrocardiogram Obtainment and QT Findings by Drug Interaction Risk Level Among Study Patients (N = 66)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QTc1</th>
<th>Low, n = 24 (%)</th>
<th>Medium, n = 57 (%)</th>
<th>High, n = 19 (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>11 (45.8%)</td>
<td>13 (22.8%)</td>
<td>4 (21.0%)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>2 (8.3%)</td>
<td>3 (5.3%)</td>
<td>4 (21.0%)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>3 (12.5%)</td>
<td>20 (35.7%)</td>
<td>6 (31.6%)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16 (66.7%)</td>
<td>36 (63.2%)</td>
<td>14 (73.6%)</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Low drug interaction–risk level was azithromycin alone (24 patients), medium-risk level was azithromycin prescribed with 1 or 2 potentially interacting medications (57 patients), and high-risk level was azithromycin prescribed with 3 or more QTc prolonging medications.

Borderline QTc was defined as 431–450 ms in males and 451–470 ms in females. Abnormal QTc was defined as greater than 450 ms in males and greater than 470 ms in females.

P value was obtained via \( \chi^2 \) analysis using SAS software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

In this study, 76% of patients were prescribed azithromycin with 1 or more medications known to affect QT prolongation; 19% received 3 or more QT-prolonging medications in addition to azithromycin. Of patients who received a baseline ECG, 43% were documented to have borderline or prolonged QTc on admission. Telemetry monitoring was ordered 43% of the time, but there was no significant association between telemetry placement and risk level (\( P = 0.07 \)), suggesting that telemetry was ordered based on symptoms more than risk. Despite more drug-drug–interacting medications prescribed, there was no association to either telemetry orders or baseline ECG evaluation. Furthermore, if an abnormal QTc was documented on admission, there was no relationship between baseline ECG (\( P = 0.7 \)). In 17 out of 66 patients, average repeat ECGs were obtained on day 3 (range, 2–7 days). Ten of the 17 ECGs showed increase in QTc (range, 3–97 ms; average 27 ms), whereas the other 7 had a decrease in their QTc interval (range, 6–18 ms; average 13 ms; \( P = 0.17 \)).

As risk level increased, having an abnormal QTc at baseline was statistically different between low- and medium-risk levels (\( P = 0.03 \)), but this association was lost when comparing the low-risk arm to the high-risk arm (\( P = 0.11 \)). When the medium- and high-risk categories were combined, there was a noted statistical significance of having an abnormal ECG at baseline (\( P = 0.03 \)).

Of the 9 patients prescribed azithromycin chronically, 3 patients were in the low-risk category, 4 in the medium-risk category, and 2 in the high-risk category. Only 2 had baseline ECGs obtained, 1 of which was noted to have abnormal QTc and was in the high-risk category. Only 1 patient was placed on telemetry, but was considered low risk based on medications prescribed.

DISCUSSION

In this study, 76% of patients were prescribed azithromycin with 1 or more medications known to affect QT prolongation; 19% received 3 or more QT-prolonging medications in addition to azithromycin. Of patients who received a baseline ECG, 43% were documented to have borderline or prolonged QTc on admission. Telemetry monitoring was ordered 43% of the time, but there was no significant association between telemetry placement and risk level (\( P = 0.07 \)), suggesting that telemetry was ordered based on symptoms more than risk. Despite more drug-drug–interacting medications prescribed, there was no association to either telemetry orders or baseline ECG evaluation. Furthermore, if an abnormal QTc was documented on admission, there was no relationship between baseline ECG (\( P = 0.7 \)). In 17 out of 66 patients, average repeat ECGs were obtained on day 3 (range, 2–7 days). Ten of the 17 ECGs showed increase in QTc (range, 3–97 ms; average 27 ms), whereas the other 7 had a decrease in their QTc interval (range, 6–18 ms; average 13 ms; \( P = 0.17 \)).

As risk level increased, having an abnormal QTc at baseline was statistically different between low- and medium-risk levels (\( P = 0.03 \)), but this association was lost when comparing the low-risk arm to the high-risk arm (\( P = 0.11 \)). When the medium- and high-risk categories were combined, there was a noted statistical significance of having an abnormal ECG at baseline (\( P = 0.03 \)).

Of the 9 patients prescribed azithromycin chronically, 3 patients were in the low-risk category, 4 in the medium-risk category, and 2 in the high-risk category. Only 2 had baseline ECGs obtained, 1 of which was noted to have abnormal QTc and was in the high-risk category. Only 1 patient was placed on telemetry, but was considered low risk based on medications prescribed.

DISCUSSION

In this study, 76% of patients were prescribed azithromycin with 1 or more medications known to affect QT prolongation; 19% received 3 or more QT-prolonging medications in addition to azithromycin. Of patients who received a baseline ECG, 43% were documented to have borderline or prolonged QTc on admission. Telemetry monitoring was ordered 43% of the time, but there was no significant association between telemetry placement and risk level (\( P = 0.07 \)), suggesting that telemetry was ordered based on symptoms more than risk. Despite more drug-drug–interacting medications prescribed, there was no association to either telemetry orders or baseline ECG evaluation. Furthermore, if an abnormal QTc was documented on admission, there was no relationship
to ordering telemetry as an inpatient (P = 0.215), suggesting that healthcare providers are not considering risk of QTc medication accumulation. Given increased warnings issued by the FDA for azithromycin, further prospective studies are indicated to fully assess risk of QTc prolongation and arrhythmias in the setting of multiple drug interactions. This study elucidates the potential for drug-drug interactions and need for increased vigilance and education of providers in the healthcare setting for QTc prolongation and subsequent arrhythmias.

Forty-eight percent of patients receiving other QTc prolonging medications were prescribed ondansetron, followed by 23% of patients prescribed trazodone. Both of these medications are included on the admission order set in our institution and can be easily ordered for patients. Despite ordering multiple medications that have potential for QTc prolongation, there are no current alerts set up in our electronic medical record. When patients are separated into drug interaction risk levels, there is a trend of having an abnormal QTc on admission, but this is driven by the large number of patients in the medium-risk category, and the rate does not increase (and is not significant) when comparing high risk to low risk. However, patients who receive any QTc-prolonging medication are more likely to have an abnormal QTc when compared to azithromycin prescription alone (P = 0.03). The small sample size limits the power and generalizability of this study, and further larger studies are indicated to assess if risk of QTc prolongation is additive.

In the 9 patients prescribed azithromycin chronically, dosing was not consistent, and a vast majority of patients were not placed on telemetry nor had baseline ECGs on admission. This further correlates with the idea that risk of arrhythmia is not fully considered in this patient population, as patients prescribed more than 1 QTc-prolonging medication were not included in prior studies that examined azithromycin for its anti-inflammatory effects. Azithromycin was added to our hospital formulary in 1998, and prescription of this agent remained relatively low until 2006, when azithromycin use increased dramatically from 15 days of therapy (DOT) per 1000 patient days to 40 DOT per 1000 patient days. Although numerous factors may have led to this increase, literature was published in 2006 and 2011 citing benefit from the anti-inflammatory effects of azithromycin. At the same time, azithromycin susceptibility among Streptococcus pneumoniae in patients within our hospital has decreased over the past decade; studies have found a correlation between increasing use of macrolides and the development of resistance in Streptococcus species. In this study, 79% of patients were prescribed azithromycin empirically for treatment of bacterial infections, whereas 20% were given azithromycin for its anti-inflammatory effects; both dose and frequency varied among patients, raising the concern for development of resistance. Published studies have shown improvement in quality of life and decreased frequency of exacerbation and infection when azithromycin is used as an anti-inflammatory agent; however, no QTc monitoring was noted. Drug-induced QTc prolongation >10 ms above baseline suggests the potential for clinical significance, whereas a QTc prolongation >20 milliseconds above baseline has a substantially increased likelihood of being proarrhythmic. Unfortunately, drug-induced QT prolongation is unpredictable, and additional risk factors play a role in facilitating Torsades de pointes, including female sex, advanced age, electrolyte disturbances, intravenous formulation, and concurrent use of more than 1 drug that can prolong the QT interval. Azithromycin has recently been added to the growing list of medications that can prolong the QT interval, with 12 cases of Torsades de pointes reported in the literature. In March 2013, the FDA released a warning regarding prescribing azithromycin, but there is a lack of guidance for clinicians in identifying risk of cardiovascular events in susceptible patients.

There are some limitations to this study. Given data were acquired retrospectively and telemetry sheets were unable to be reviewed. Some patients were noted to have arrhythmias, but these data were obtained through physician notes and not examined directly from telemetry sheets. Seventeen patients had repeat ECGs, but most were performed serially for chest pain and not QTc monitoring. Four patients died in this study, but cause of death could not be determined through electronic medical records provided for all 4 patients; families pursued withdrawal of care.

Despite the published FDA warning, there are no national guidelines for clinicians in prescribing QTc-prolonging medications. The American Heart Association published recommendations in 2010 for prescribing these drugs in the inpatient setting, but because hospitals differ in cardiac monitoring, there is no one-size-fits-all strategy in reducing risk of cardiac events. If the benefit of azithromycin outweighs the risk, QTc prolongation should not limit therapy; however, institutional awareness is necessary, whether it be through automatic stop dates on azithromycin, electronic alerts regarding drug-drug interaction, enhanced prescriber education, or a combination of all of the above.

Disclosure: Nothing to report.
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